9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to the Court's Minute Order on March 7, 2025 (ECF No. 142), Defendants Colbeck Capital Management, LLC; CB Media Ventures DD, LLC; CB Agency Services, LLC; and CB Participations SPV, LLC (collectively, "CB Defendants") hereby maintain the confidentiality of documents the CB Defendants previously applied to file under seal in connection with the CB Defendants' Omnibus Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaints ("Omnibus Motion to Dismiss").

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 79-5.2.2, the CB Defendants previously applied to this Court for leave to file under seal documents in support of the Omnibus Motion to Dismiss (see ECF Nos. 73, 73-1, 74, 74-1, 74-3, and 74-4) and portions of their Reply Brief in Support of the Omnibus Motion to Dismiss ("Omnibus Reply") (see ECF Nos. 120, 120-1, 121, and 121-1) (collectively, the "Applications").

Descriptions of the documents requested to be sealed are as follows:

- The Omnibus Motion to Dismiss' and Omnibus Reply's limited redacted text comprises confidential content from Exhibits A-B to the Omnibus Motion to Dismiss.
- **Exhibit** A is a Loan Agreement dated April 28, 2011, between various parties, including the CB Defendants and other third-party lenders who participated in loaning money to the MindGeek Borrowers¹ in 2011.
- **Exhibit B** is a Loan Agreement dated October 15, 2013, between various parties, including the CB Defendants and other third-party lenders who participated in loaning money to the MindGeek Borrowers in 2013.

As explained in the Declarations of Kevin C. Adam in Support of the Applications (ECF Nos. 74 and 121), good cause and compelling reasons exist to seal Exhibits A-B, which contain confidential commercially sensitive information

¹ "MindGeek Borrowers" has the same meaning as defined in the Omnibus Motion to Dismiss.

and financial information of certain Defendants, including the CB Defendants, and third parties unrelated to this action.

The Applications are narrowly tailored and a less restrictive alternative to sealing is not otherwise practical, as Exhibits A-B are replete with confidential business and financial information, making redaction of these documents impractical. The nature and confidentiality of these documents outweigh the public's interest in access to them.

For the foregoing reasons, the CB Defendants maintain their previous Applications and respectfully request that the Court grant the Applications.

Dated: March 28, 2025 WHITE & CASE LLP

By: David G. Hille

Attorneys for Defendants
COLBECK CAPITAL
MANAGEMENT, LLC; CB MEDIA
VENTURES DD, LLC; CB AGENCY
SERVICES, LLC; and CB
PARTICIPATIONS SPV, LLC